FLAGS & LOCAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

- Objective of EMFF CLLD (art.58 EMFF)
 - Support the sustainable development of fisheries and aquaculture areas (art 58)
- Development as clear mandate but ...development relies on resources

- Objectives of LDS (art.63 EMFF)
 - (c) enhance and capitalize on the environmental assets of fisheries and aquaculture areas
 - (e) strengthen role of fisheries communities in local development and governance of local fisheries resources and maritime activities












- Resources?
- Fisheries and aquaculture rely on



Water







International, European and English legislation giving protection to the marine environment (adapted from Boyes & Elliott, 2014)



LRM: KEY POLICY FRAMEWORK




Policy	Key instrument	Targets
Common Fisheries Policy (CFP)	MSY Landing obligation	MSY by 2020 Gradual implementation until 2019
Coastal and Marine Policy (/Integrated Maritime Policy)	Marine Strategy Framework Directive	Good environmental status of seas by 2020 (includes MSY& MPAs target)
Environmental policy	Birds & habitats Directives Water Framework Directive	Natura 2000 Clean fresh and coastal waters (good status)



Share of FLAG budget dedicated to LRM (planned)






Based on the responses of 183 FLAGs applying to participate in the seminar





FLAGs and protected areas








FLAGs & co-management





Co-management in place in your FLAG area?


Involvement of key stakeholders in your FLAG area (eg. regional fishing committee, producer organisations, scientific bodies, etc)? Is the landing obligation already implemented in your FLAG area?

Yes No



Potential to develop/improve resource co-management





Very low potential

Very high potential





Keys barriers preventing the development of LRM

	0 2	20 4	40	60	80 1	00 120
Lack of communication between local stakeholders						
Limited awareness of local resource management						
Lack of institutional framework allowing fishing communities to get involved						
Lack of interest of fishing and aquaculture communities						
Cumbersome consulting procedures						
Others						

"other barriers": lack of trust, time or knowledge, individualism, bureaucracy, political support,...

Based on the responses of 183 FLAGs applying to participate in the seminar



Mini Plenaries Session

- Harmonizing level of understanding
- Introduce key concepts and policy framework

Round 1 (11.00 – 11.40)

1. Developing & setting up co-management at local level	Plenary Room (7 th floor)			
	Translation: Spanish, French			
2. Promoting sustainable fishing and aquaculture activities	Mar 2 (2 nd floor)			
	Translation: Italian			
3. Encouraging local actions for ecosystem restoration	Terra 2 (2 nd floor)			
	Translation: Spanish			

Round 2 (11.45 – 12.30)

1. Developing & setting up co-management at local level	Plenary Room (7 th floor)		
	Translation: Spanish, Italian		
2. Promoting sustainable fishing and aquaculture activities	Mar 2 (2 nd floor)		
	Translation: Spanish		
3. Encouraging local actions for ecosystem restoration	Terra 2 (2 nd floor)		
	Translation: French		









Ask, contribute, exchange!

The content and views expressed in this presentation are those of the FARNET Support Unit and not those of the European Commission.

follow us on



in

#LRMseminar